



Dydrogesterone *versus* Micronized Progesterone

Dydrogesterone *versus* Micronized Progesterone Receptor Selectivity

Biological activity	Dydrogesterone	Progesterone
Progestogenic	+	+
Anti-gonadotropic	-	+
Anti-estrogenic	+	+
Estrogenic	-	-
Androgenic	-	-
Anti-androgenic	±*	±
Glucocorticoid	-	+
Anti-mineralocorticoid	±	+

Dydrogesterone is selective for the progesterone receptor, avoiding other receptor-related side effects¹⁻⁴

*Dydrogesterone has less pronounced anti-androgenic effects than progesterone; + effective; ± weakly effective; - not effective

1. Schindler AE, et al. Maturitas 2008; 61(1-2):171-180. 2. Schindler AE. Maturitas 2009; 65(Suppl 1):S3-S11. 3. Dydrogesterone CCDS. 23 June 2015. 4. Rižner TL, et al. Steroids 2011; 76(6):607-615.

Company
Confidential
© 2015 Abbott
GLDUSTO140178(2)a

**EXTERNAL
USE**


 **Abbott**
A Prom

Slide 68a

Dydrogesterone *versus* Micronized Progesterone Receptor Affinity

Dydrogesterone has ~1.5 times better affinity to progesterone receptors than progesterone¹

Affinity to progesterone receptor¹



Dihydrodydrogesterone, the main metabolite of dydrogesterone, also has progestogenic activity¹⁻³

1. Schindler AE, et al. Maturitas 2008; 61(1-2):171-180.
2. Schindler AE. Maturitas 2009; 65(Suppl 1): S3-S11.
3. Dydrogesterone CCDS. 23 June 2015.

Company
Confidential
© 2015 Abbott

GLDUSTO140178(2)a

**EXTERNAL
USE**

 **Abbott**
A Prom

Slide 68b

Dydrogesterone *versus* Micronized Progesterone Bioavailability and Oral Administration

Dydrogesterone has ~5.6 times better oral bioavailability than progesterone¹⁻³

Oral bioavailability

28%
dydrogesterone

<5% progesterone

Oral dose

100–300 mg
progesterone

10 mg
dydrogesterone

Dydrogesterone requires a 10–20 times lower oral dose than micronized progesterone,¹⁻³ providing clear clinical benefits⁴⁻⁶

Dydrogesterone *versus* Vaginal Micronized Progesterone Absorption and Plasma Levels

Dydrogesterone¹


- Has **quick-effect onset** (rapidly absorbed, reaching maximal levels between 30 minutes and 2.5 hours after administration)
- Has a **long, stable effect** (mean terminal half-life is 5–7 hours)

Vaginal progesterone²

Progesterone diffuses through the entire uterus by 4–5 hours, and then decreases concentration after 5 hours

Venous blood outflow from the uterus was highest in the first 2 hours

Vaginal route permits targeted drug delivery for a short period of time




Adapted from Bulletti C, et al. Hum Reprod 1997; 12(5):1073-1079

Dydrogesterone reaches peak absorption levels more rapidly than vaginal progesterone, and these levels are maintained for a longer duration^{1,2}

1. Dydrogesterone CCDS. 23 June 2015.
2. Bulletti C, et al. Hum Reprod 1997; 12(5):1073-1079.

Dydrogesterone versus Vaginal Micronized Progesterone Safety and Tolerability

- Both oral and vaginal micronized progesterone are metabolized by the liver^{1,2}
 - Progesterone is associated with a risk of cholestasis in pregnancy, therefore it is only licensed in the UK for use up to Week 12 of gestation in ART/IVF and only by the vaginal route
- It is estimated that more than 10 million pregnancies have been exposed to dydrogesterone. So far, there have been no indications of a harmful effect of dydrogesterone use during pregnancy^{3,4}
- A randomized controlled trial in 853 infertile women compared the efficacy and tolerability of 20 mg/day oral dydrogesterone and 90 mg 8% vaginal progesterone gel used for luteal support. Numerically more local side effects occurred in the progesterone group compared to the dydrogesterone group⁵



ART, assisted reproductive technology; IVF, *in vitro* fertilization

1. Utrogestan 200 mg oral capsules. SPC UK. October 2013. 2. Utrogestan 200 mg vaginal capsules. SPC UK. October 2013. 3. Queisser-Luft A. Early Hum Dev 2009; 85(6):375-377. 4. Dydrogesterone CCDS. 23 June 2015. 5. Tomic V, et al. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2015; 186:49-53.

Company
Confidential
© 2015 Abbott

GLDUSTO140178(2)a

**EXTERNAL
USE**

 **Abbott**
A Prom

New slide

Dydrogesterone *versus* Vaginal Micronized Progesterone Preference and Acceptability

- In studies that compared oral *versus* vaginal formulations of non-progestin drugs, women prefer to use oral formulations than vaginal ones^{1,2}
- Application of vaginal tablets requires a private, clean room; whereas tablets can be taken orally, anywhere

A comparative study between dydrogesterone and vaginal micronized progesterone for luteal support³

**Vaginal discharge
or irritation**

Dydrogesterone
group: 0%

Progesterone
group: 10.5%

**Satisfaction
with tolerability
of treatment**

Dydrogesterone
group: ~95%

Progesterone
group: ~73%

Statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$)

1. Arvidsson C, et al. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2005; 123(1):87-91.
2. Bingham JS. Br J Vener Dis 1984; 60(3):175-177.
3. Chakravarty BN, et al. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2005; 97(5):416-420.

Conclusions

Dydrogesterone

- Is produced from a natural source¹ like other progestogens
- Is very similar to progesterone, but has enhanced oral bioavailability^{2,3}
- Is highly selective and has a high affinity for progesterone receptors^{2,3}
- Is metabolized into compounds that are either progestogenic or inactive^{2,3}
- Has a fast onset of action and long, stable effect⁴
- Is well tolerated and has a favorable safety profile in all approved indications, including pregnancy⁴⁻⁹

Note: the effectiveness and safety records of dydrogesterone are based on the body of evidence for treatment of threatened^{5,6,10,11} and recurrent miscarriage⁷

1. University of Maryland Medical Center. Complementary and Alternative Medicine Guide. Wild yam. <http://umm.edu/health/medical/altmed/herb/wild-yam>.
2. Schindler AE, et al. Maturitas 2009; 65(Suppl 1):S3-S11. 3. Schindler AE, et al. Maturitas 2008; 61(1-2):171-180. 4. Dydrogesterone CCDS. 23 June 2015. 5. El-Zibdeh MY, Yousef LT. Maturitas 2009; 65(Suppl 1):S43-S46. 6. Pandian RU. Maturitas 2009; 65(Suppl 1):S47-S50. 7. El-Zibdeh MY. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2005; 97(5):431-434. 8. Dutta DK. Asian J Obstet Gynae Pract 2001; 5(2):3-5; 9. Queisser-Luft A. Early Hum Dev 2009; 85(6):375-377. 10. Omar MH, et al. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2005; 97(5):421-425. 11. Carp H. Gynecol Endocrinol 2012; 28(12):983-990.

