Attention-Dependent Representation of a Size Illusion in Human V1

Fang Fang,¹ Huseyin Boyaci,² Daniel Kersten,³ and Scott O. Murray4,* ¹Department of Psychology and Key Laboratory of Machine Perception (Ministry of Education) Peking University Beijing 100871 People's Republic of China ²Department of Psychology **Bilkent University** Ankara 06800 Turkey ³Department of Psychology University of Minnesota Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA ⁴Department of Psychology University of Washington Seattle, WA 98105 USA

Presented at Cognitive Neuroscience Journal Club by Igor Utochkin (HSE) e-mail: <u>isutochkin@inbox.ru</u>

Working definitions



Size constancy is phenomenal rescaling of the retinal size of an object to match distance at which it is perceivedAttention is a set of mechanisms providing a selective access for a part of the input to deeper perceptual processing



Psychophysical task: Adjust the size of the probe ring to match the size of either the front, or the back ring



fMRI data collection



Data about apparent sizes

Fixate spokes intersection of a still ring (baseline, 20 s) followed by phase flicker (10 s) Move to another ring

Attentional manipulation

Attention towards rings: Detect a 250-ms flicker pause on a fixated ring Attention away from rings: Detect a small luminance change at a fixation point

fMRI image differences



Attentional effects



Activation is shifted away from the center at the 'back' condition

The change in activation is substantially weaker



fMRI differences correlate with perceptual difference (illusion magnitude) but only in the 'attend-to-fixation' task.

Additional low-resolution fMRI session



Activation in LOC and PPA were found to be decreased under 'attend-to-fixation'. This suggests that V1 size rescaling is modulated by feedback from higher-level visual fields (presumably associated with representing the properties of *objects* and *scenes*)

Bonus material

How does V1 rescale the size?

Object-Centered Shifts of Receptive Field Positions in Monkey Primary Visual Cortex

Amy M. Ni,¹ Scott O. Murray,^{2,*} and Gregory D. Horwitz¹ ¹National Primate Research Center and Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA ²Department of Psychology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

Gray background

Corridor background





Ring Size

Psychophysics

Monkeys (*n* = 2) were trained to fixate a larger ring (on a gray background) They were then tested both with the gray and with a corridor background

Single-unit recordings

V1 cell responses were recorded For each cell 7 ring sizes were tested eliciting firing rates around an optimum for their receptive fields (RF)

Predictions

Predicted size-tuning functions



The edge (ring) of a far object should cause more activation in the RF of neurons "preferring" larger sizes

<u>Counterintuitive but logically correct:</u>

This means that that the *far* ring should shift the RF *inwards*. That is, the cell switches to prefer smaller rings to compensate for distance.

Principal result

